

THIRTEENTH KERALA LEGISLATIVE ASSEMBLY

COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC UNDERTAKINGS (2014-2016)

13

FIFTY SECOND REPORT (Presented on 11th December, 2014)

SECRETARIAT OF THE KERALA LEGISLATURE .
THIRUVANANTHAPURAM
2014

INTRODUCTION

I, the Chairman, Committee on Public Undertakings having been authorised by the Committee to present the Report on their behalf, present this fifty second Report on the Action Taken by Government on the Recommendations contained in the Eighty Fifth Report of the Committee on Public Undertakings (2004-2006) on the working of the Kerala State Handloom Development Corporation Limited based on the Report of the Comptroller and Auditor General of India for the year ended 31st March, 2001 (Commercial).

The Statement of Action Taken by the Government included in this Report were considered by the Committee constituted for the year 2011-2014.

This Report was considered and approved by the Committee at the meeting held on 3-9-2014.

The Committee place on record their appreciation of the assistance rendered to them by the Accountant General (Audit), Kerala, in the examination of the statements included in this Report.

Thiruvananthapuram, 11th December, 2014.

K. N. A. Khader,

Chairman,

Committee on Public Undertakings.

COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC UNDERTAKINGS (2014-2016)

Chairman:

Shri K. N. A. Khader

Members:

Shri Abdurahiman Randathani

Shri A. A. Azeez

Shri P. K. Gurudasan

DR. N. Jayaraj

Shri Elamaram Kareem

Shri T. N. Prathapan

Shri Palode Ravi

Shri S. Sarma

Shri P. Thilothaman

Shri P. C. Vishnunadh.

Legislature Secretariat:

Shri P. D. Sarangadharan, Secretary

Shri M. K. Surendra Lal, Joint Secretary

Smt. M. R. Maheswary, Deputy Secretary

Shri P. S. Selvarajan, Under Secretary.

CONTENTS

| | | Page |
|--------------|--|---------|
| Compositio | n of the Committee | v |
| Introduction | | vii |
| Report | | 1 |
| Chapter I : | Reply furnished by Government on the recommendation of the Committee which has been accepted by the Committee without remark | 2 |
| Chapter II: | Replies furnished by Government on the recommendations of the Committee which have been accepted by the Committee with remarks | 3 |

THIRTEENTH KERALA LEGISLATIVE ASSEMBLY

COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC UNDERTAKINGS (2014-2016)

FIFTY SECOND REPORT

on

The Action Taken by Government on the Recommendations contained in the Eighty Fifth Report of the Committee on Public Undertakings (2004-2006) relating to Kerala State Handloom Development Corporation Limited based on the Report of the Comptroller and Auditor General of India for the year ended 31st March, 2001 (Commercial)

REPORT

The Report deals with the Action Taken by Government on the recommendations contained in the Eighty Fifth Report of Committee on Public Undertakings (2004–06). The Eighty Fifth Report relating to Kerala State Handloom Development Corporation Ltd. is based on the report of the Comptroller and Auditor General of India for the year ended 31st March, 2001 (Commercial) was laid on the Table of the House on 15th March, 2002.

The report contained three recommendations. Government have furnished replies to all the recommendations. The Committee (2011–14) considered the replies received from Government at it's meeting held on 10-10-2012.

The Committee accepted the reply to the recommendation No. 1(4) without any remark. This recommendation, it's reply and the remark of the Committee form Chapter I of the Report.

The replies to the recommendations No. 2(11), 3(12), were accepted by the Committee with remarks. These recommendations, their replies and the remarks of the Committee form Chapter II of the Report.

CHAPTER I

REPLY FURNISHED BY GOVERNMENT ON THE RECOMMENDATION OF THE COMMITTEE WHICH HAS BEEN ACCEPTED BY THE COMMITTEE WITHOUT REMARK

| SI No. | Para No. | Department concerned | Conclusion/Recommendation | Action Taken by Government |
|-----------|-------------|----------------------|--|---|
| 1 | 4 | Industries | The Committee feels that it is not morally right and is totally unfair to disburse the funds given by the Central Government at the interest rate of 11.75% to 12% to the beneficiaries at an interest rate of 19.5% per annum. The action of the State Government had helped only to add to the financial burden of the beneficiaries by charging higher rate of interest since the beneficiaries were weavers from SC/ST, minority, women, people below poverty line etc., funds should not have been disbursed at high interest rates. The Committee expresses its serious concern over this and recommends that the State Government should disburse the loan amount at the same interest rate as it gets from the Central Government and not try to make any profit from these transactions, as the beneficiaries are people living below poverty line. | Government have already issued orders [G.O. (Rt.) No. 1592/2010/ID dated 16-11-2010], reducing the rate of interest charged for the loar amount of ₹ Rs. 6.26 crore sanctioned so far to Hanveev for implementing the project package to weavers during the period from January 1994 to November 199 from 19.5% to 12% per annum. |

| CPLIES FURNICIES | Cristia |
|------------------|---|
| ORAISHED B | Y GOVERNMENT OF |
| SI | CHAPTER II Y GOVERNMENT ON THE RECOMMENDATIONS OF THE COMMITTEE WHICH HAVE BEEN ACCEPTED BY THE COMMITTEE WITH REMARKS Conclusion OF |
| Para Department | BEEN ACCEPTED BY THE COMMITTEE WITH REMARKS Conclusion (Page 1) |
| No. concerned | Conclusion/Recommendation |

| | | | OWNSHED | BY GOVERNMENT - CHAPTER II | |
|---|-----------------|------|------------|---|--|
| | SĮ. | - | | BEEN ACCEPTED THE RECOMMENDA | TIONS OF THE |
| | ₹V _O | Para | Department | BY GOVERNMENT ON THE RECOMMENDATE BEEN ACCEPTED BY THE COMMITTEE V | WITH DEMARKS |
| • | | No. | concerned | Conclusion/Recommendation | REMARKS |
| | (1) | (2) | (3) | interluction | Action Taken by Government |
| | 5 | 11 | | (4) | Government |
| | | 41 | Industries | The Committee (4) | |
| | | | | The Committee finds that sale of the Company's products has increased | (5) |
| | | | | repate in price : " " " " redsed only when | y india have decided to |
| | | | | declared at the L | inc Trebate allowed for the cale |
| | | | | Company can mal of the year, the | of Handloom Products with effect from |
| | | | | Set maximum on a read and indigements to | |
| | | | | The Committee at the restrival seasons | |
| | | | 1 | eoate rates should a recommends that | |
| | | |) | ear and that the same wed for /8 days in a | have allowed 20% rebate, which includes an additional 10% central rebate with effect |
| | | | u | he beginning of the year itself. | from April 2009. As this additional 10% rebate will be an additional 10% |
| | | | | | rebate will be an added burden to all a |

rebate will be an added burden to the State exchequer and also the fact that the non-plan expenditure need to be cut short,

the Government is not in a position to Remarks of the Committee:—The Committee wants to be furnished with the details of the sales turnover for the last 3 years, and also the method of utilisation of funds received as market incentive.

made by the Company to provide employment to the weavers on a regular basis. The Committee also understands Department, Department, Tribal Welfare Legislature and Department Government Tourism only are the Departments making purchases from the Secretariat Handloom Corporation. The Committee learns that this is Kerala a very sorry state of affairs, as it defeats the very purpose of setting-up the company. At a time when cheap synthetic fabrics produced in large machine-run textile mills are available in plenty, sincere and whole-hearted support at Government level is essential to revive the traditional handloom sector which can provide more employment opportunities to a number of village bound artisans. Hence the Committee recommends that all Government/ Quasi Government Departments agencies should meet their requirement of

The Government from time to time issued orders allowing relaxation to para 57 (a) (ii) of the Stores Purchase Manual and this relaxation has enabled Hanveev and Hantex to supply their textile goods directly to all Government Departments, PSUs, etc.; without following the tender formalities. Thus it is expected that the sale of handloom goods manufactured by Hanveev and Hantex would enhance and thereby improve the financial position of these companies. The Government have envisaged a state vide campaign to enhance the sale of handloom products including uniform cloth for school children. Due to this the sale of handloom goods have increased considerably.

cloth only from the Kerala State Handloom Development Corporation. This earnest measure will provide employment opportunity to a large number of weavers and at the same time improve the financial position of the Company, thereby reducing its dependence on Government funds and bank loans for its survival.

Remarks of the Committee:—The Committee wants to be furnished with the details of year wise purchase made by various Government departments from Hanveev and Hantex after the amendment of Stores Purchase Manual was made and that if the purchase made by the Government departments during the said period was below the expected target, a performance Report of these institutions should be made available to the Committee after taking evidence from the concerned Secretary. The Committee also wants a detailed report on the action taken to increase the incentive and sales turnover of these institutions

Thiruvananthapuram, 11th December, 2014.

K. N. A. KHADER, Chairman, Committee on Public Undertakings.